Sanvahak (संवाहक)

An International Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Indexed, Multidisciplinary (All Discipline/Subjects), Multilingual (All Languages) & Quarterly Research journal

ISSN : 3108-1347 (Online)

IIFS Impact Factor-5.25

Vol.-2; Issue-3 (July-Sept.) 2026

Page No.- 24-30

DOI : 10.71037/sanvahak.v2i3.03

https:// sanvahak.gyanvividha.com

Author's:

1. Hemant Yadav
(Research Scholar, Department of Education, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur, C.G.).

2. Rakesh Kumar Singh
(Research Scholar, Department of Education, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur, C.G.).

Corresponding Author :

Rakesh Kumar Singh
(Research Scholar, Department of Education, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur, C.G.).

Emerging Issues and Challenges in Global Education

Abstract : The global educational ecosystem currently stands at a profound historical inflection point, characterized simultaneously by rapid technological acceleration and severe structural fragility. At the dawn of the twenty-first century, theoretical discourse in education was largely dominated by a techno-optimistic paradigm, which posited that digital connectivity and the democratization of information would inherently level the playing field, eradicating historical inequities. Furthermore, as the world confronts the existential threat of anthropogenic climate change, educational systems are tasked with rapidly integrating Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) to foster a generation capable of navigating and mitigating ecological collapse. Employers are increasingly pivoting toward skills-based hiring matrices, demanding that higher education institutions urgently reconfigure their curricula to prioritize demonstrable competencies over static academic qualifications.

This comprehensive chapter provides an exhaustive analysis of the emerging issues and structural challenges currently defining the global education sector. By synthesizing empirical data sets, international policy frameworks, and theoretical pedagogical paradigms, the ensuing analysis unpacks the second- and third-order implications of these trends. The objective is to rigorously assess how these intersecting crises demand the creation of resilient, ethically grounded, and radically inclusive educational ecosystems capable of sustaining human development throughout the twenty-first century.

Key Words : Educational Inequality, Digital divided, Access to Education, Quality of education, Technology in Education, Artificial Intelligence, Online and Blended Learning, Teacher Shortage, Climate change in Education.

1. The Global Learning Crisis and Post-Pandemic

Cognitive Deficits : The most immediate crisis facing global education is a pervasive and deepening deficit in foundational cognitive skills. The World Bank characterizes this phenomenon as a global "learning poverty" crisis. Current estimates indicate that as a direct consequence of the worst systemic shock to education in recorded history, learning poverty has surged by a third in low- and middle-income countries. At present, a staggering 70% of ten-year-olds in these regions are unable to read and comprehend a simple written text, up from 57% prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. Testing nearly 700,000 fifteen-year-old students across 81 participating countries and economies, the 2022 PISA iteration revealed an unprecedented drop in performance.

Between 2018 and 2022, the mean performance in mathematics across OECD countries plummeted by a record 15 points-the equivalent of three-quarters of an academic year of learning. Reading scores fell by 10 points, representing half a year of learning loss and marking a decline twice as severe as any previous record. Crucially, as OECD Director of Education Andreas Schleicher noted, while COVID-19 played a role, underlying structural factors are the primary drivers; the trajectories for reading and science performance had already been steadily declining for a decade prior to the 2018 assessments.

Assessment Domain	OECD Mean Score Change (2018 to 2022)	Academic Year Equivalency of Decline	Pre-Pandemic Trajectory Context
Mathematics	15 points	0.75 years lost	Historically stable between 2003 and 2018
Reading	10 points	0.50 years lost	Consistent downward trajectory since 2012
Science	2 points (Statistically insignificant)	Negligible	Consistent downward trajectory since 2012

The distribution of this learning loss reveals profound insights into systemic resilience. The deterioration in mathematics was particularly acute in historically high-performing European nations, including Germany, Iceland, the Netherlands, Norway, and Poland, all of which experienced precipitous drops of 25 score points or more. Socioeconomic status remains the most intractable predictor of educational success. Disadvantaged students across the OECD are seven times more likely to fail to achieve basic mathematical proficiency compared to their advantaged peers.

2. The Global Teacher Shortage: The Collapse of the Pedagogical Workforce : The single greatest structural barrier to resolving the global learning crisis is an acute, accelerating shortage of educational professionals. The international community is currently confronting a profound workforce deficit, requiring an estimated 44 million additional primary and secondary teachers to achieve the United Nations Sustainable Development Goal 4 (universal primary and secondary education) by the year 2030. While this updated estimate represents an improvement from the 69 million shortfall projected by UNESCO in 2016, the underlying trends suggest that the teaching profession is experiencing a crisis of viability that threatens the foundational architecture of global education.

Crucially, the teacher shortage is not confined to the developing world. The primary driver of this global deficit is no longer merely a failure of recruitment; it is a catastrophic failure of retention. This disparity is driven by the fact that male professionals typically possess greater lateral mobility and access to alternative, higher-paying sectors within the broader labor market. Additionally, lingering cultural prejudices that erroneously code early childhood and primary

education as inherently "female labor" contribute to male alienation from the profession. Instead, educational systems must radically improve baseline compensation, dismantle the hyper-bureaucratic administrative loads that strip teachers of their pedagogical autonomy, and embed comprehensive mental health and well-being support structures directly into institutional frameworks.

3. Technological Disruption, Generative AI, and the Digital Divide : In response to both the learning crisis and the global teacher shortage, educational systems are increasingly turning to technology as a panacea. The report asserts that the deployment of educational technology (EdTech) is frequently driven by commercial market expansion rather than rigorous, evidence-based pedagogical design, prioritizing digital inputs over actual learning outcomes.

The foundational barrier to technology's efficacy in education remains the persistent global digital divide. The optimistic narrative surrounding EdTech often fails to account for profound infrastructural inequities. The financial barriers to bridging this divide are monumental. Compounding the infrastructural digital divide is the rapid emergence of Generative Artificial Intelligence (GAI) in the educational sphere.

UNESCO Generative AI Policy Framework Area	Key Ethical Principles and Strategic Objectives	Risks Mitigated
Human Agency & Oversight	AI must support, not replace, critical thinking. Maintain active human oversight over AI-generated outputs.	Cognitive atrophy; technological dependency.
Fairness & Non-Discrimination	Ensure AI does not propagate Systemic bias; demand inclusive training datasets.	Amplification of social inequalities; cultural homogenization.
Data Privacy & Security	Implement strict age-appropriate limits; ensure user data is not harvested for commercial LLM training without consent.	Unauthorized data harvesting; privacy breaches.
Transparency & Explainability	Users must be informed when AI is deployed; algorithms must	Algorithmic black boxes; unquestioned AI hallucinations.

A critical, yet often overlooked, challenge of AI in education is the propagation of algorithmic bias and cultural homogenization. Consequently, AI-powered educational tools are highly prone to marginalizing Global South epistemologies, penalizing non-normative language use, and failing to accurately represent diverse cultural and ethnic backgrounds.

Furthermore, researchers caution against the phenomenon of cognitive atrophy resulting from the over-reliance on generative systems. Therefore, modern curricula must pivot from teaching students with AI, toward teaching students about AI, cultivating a critical digital literacy that empowers learners to interrogate algorithms, recognize hallucinations, and maintain intellectual sovereignty in an increasingly automated world.

4. The Architecture of Surveillance Capitalism in EdTech : Beyond the pedagogical challenges of algorithmic bias and cognitive atrophy, the uncritical adoption of educational technology has facilitated a deeper, more insidious structural transformation: the integration of surveillance capitalism into the classroom. Theorized extensively by Harvard Business School Professor Emerita Shoshana Zuboff, surveillance capitalism represents a novel economic logic that unilaterally claims human experience as free, raw material for translation into behavioral

data. Within this economic paradigm, tech corporations capture granular user data, compute it, and package it into prediction products that are subsequently sold on "behavioral futures markets" to business customers holding a commercial interest in knowing what individuals will do, think, or desire.

The normalization of this pervasive monitoring has profound ethical and sociological implications. It conditions children to accept continuous surveillance as a default state of existence, fundamentally eroding the concept of personal privacy. Furthermore, the massive asymmetry of knowledge-wherein corporate entities know everything about the student population, while the population knows nothing of the proprietary algorithms judging them-poses an existential threat to democratic autonomy.

5. Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) and Green Skills : While technology and labor economics dominate discussions of educational disruption, the overarching meta-crisis defining the twenty-first century is anthropogenic climate change. Recognizing that global ecological sustainability cannot be achieved through technological innovation alone, the international community has positioned education as the primary socio-cultural catalyst for environmental resilience. However, the implementation of the ESD 2030 vision is currently hindered by severe institutional and geopolitical bottlenecks. A comprehensive PRISMA 2020 systematic review synthesizing 35 peer-reviewed studies regarding the Moroccan education system's integration of SDG 4.7 serves as a macro-level indicator for the broader Global South. In highly centralized systems, environmental education is frequently marginalized, relegated to the status of an extracurricular activity-such as "eco-clubs"-that rely heavily on short-term project funding rather than stable, continuous institutional support. As the global labor market transitions toward a decarbonized economy, secondary and tertiary education systems must align their vocational outputs with emerging sectors such as renewable energy infrastructure, sustainable agriculture, and circular materials management. By embedding these competencies into mainstream education, ESD empowers learners not merely to survive ecological transitions, but to actively engineer a sustainable economic future.

6. Evolving Paradigms of Inclusivity: Neurodiversity and Universal Design for Learning : Historically, traditional educational paradigms have operated on the fundamental premise of the "average learner." Under this industrial model of mass education, curricula, assessments, and physical learning environments were designed for a statistically normative student, effectively demanding standardized cognitive processing, behavioral conformity, and uniform emotional regulation. Empirical research unequivocally validates the efficacy of the UDL framework. Studies indicate that the systematic implementation of UDL principles significantly accelerates academic achievement; one study observed a 37.4% increase in academic outcomes in highly UDL-compliant environments, with the most profound benefits accruing to students with the lowest baseline engagement. While the affective domain of UDL inherently promotes emotional safety and resilience, educational institutions must transcend academic frameworks by operating as fully integrated "community schools".

7. The Economic Realities of Education: Debt Crises and Degree Inflation : In the realm of tertiary education, the historical socio-economic contract-the promise that the acquisition of an academic degree guarantees upward class mobility and economic security-is facing an existential collapse. In advanced economies, this crisis is most visibly manifested in the staggering accumulation of student loan debt, which now functions as a severe macroeconomic depressant.

In the United States, the scale of this crisis is mathematically unprecedented. As of the first fiscal quarter of 2026, the national student loan debt stands at a colossal $1.7 trillion,

distributed across approximately 43 million individual borrowers.

U.S. Student Loan Portfolio Category	Outstanding Balance (Billions USD)	Proportion of Total Debt Ecosystem
Direct Federal Loans	$1,534.0	~90.0%
Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL)	$159.6	~9.5%
Private Student Loans	$144.9	~8.0% (of total combined public/private)
Perkins Loans	Negligible fraction	< 0.2%

**Data Synthesized from U.S. Department of Education, Federal Student Aid / Enterval Analytics (2025/2026).*

This debt burden is profoundly unequal in its distribution and consequences. The average bachelor's degree recipient currently graduates with nearly $30,000 in debt. Over the last three decades, tuition costs have aggressively outpaced general economic inflation, rising from $5,940 to $11,950 at public four-year institutions, and soaring to $45,000 at private nonprofit institutions. The World Economic Forum's Future of Jobs Report 2023 corroborates this trend, noting that as 44% of workers' core skills face disruption in the coming five years, corporate focus has aggressively shifted toward technical proficiency, analytical thinking, and creative adaptability.

Today, nearly 70% of global employers are actively transitioning to "skills-first" or competency-based hiring models. This macroeconomic pivot poses a direct, existential threat to the traditional higher education sector. To survive this transition, universities must urgently dismantle theoretical silos, fundamentally redesigning their curricula to integrate practical, industry-aligned competencies, or risk total obsolescence in an era where paper credentials no longer hold monopoly power over economic opportunity.

8. Regional Implementation Challenges: A Critical Analysis of India's NEP 2020 : The complexities of translating visionary educational theory into localized, functional practice are acutely visible in the ongoing implementation of India's National Education Policy (NEP) 2020.The structural ambitions of the policy are immense. The NEP mandates a transition away from the rigid 10+2 schooling model toward a developmentally aligned 5+3+3+4 framework, emphasizing Early Childhood Care and Education (ECCE) to rectify severe gaps in rural educational foundations. In higher education, it establishes the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) to facilitate seamless, multidisciplinary mobility, mandates the integration of vocational and skill-based learning into traditional undergraduate programs, and envisions a massive expansion of access to achieve a 50% Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) by 2035.

Vocational education faces a dual challenge: despite being structurally elevated within the NEP framework, it continues to battle a deeply entrenched cultural bias that stigmatizes manual, technical, and trade skills as inferior to theoretical academia, severely hindering student uptake. Finally, India's complex federal structure has resulted in highly uneven state-level adoption.

The Indian context serves as a vital macrocosm for global educational reform efforts: visionary policy architectures, no matter how progressively designed, are utterly insufficient in the absence of proportional financial investment, intense capacity building for educators, and a cooperative, decentralized governance model that respects localized socioeconomic realities.

9. Conclusion and Strategic Imperatives : The future trajectory of global education will not be predetermined by the magnitude of the crises it faces, but by the courage and systemic agility of

the institutional responses mobilized to address them. The comprehensive evidence synthesized throughout this analysis dictates that iterative, superficial reforms are entirely insufficient to resolve the deep structural rot currently undermining pedagogical efficacy. Moving forward; educational governance must undergo a radical paradigm shift, prioritizing human-centric resilience above administrative efficiency or commercial technological integration. The stabilization of the teaching profession is the undisputed prerequisite for educational recovery. In the realm of educational technology, policymakers must shed their naivety, enforcing strict, binding data privacy frameworks that recognize student telemetry as an inviolable human right. EdTech must be transformed from a commercially extractive industry into a heavily regulated public utility, deployed strictly in service of pedagogical goals and explicitly utilizing frameworks like Universal Design for Learning to accommodate the neurodiverse realities of all learners.

Simultaneously, the macroeconomic mechanisms underpinning higher education require total realignment. The global shift toward skills-based hiring demands that tertiary institutions urgently sever their reliance on degree inflation and debt-financed credentialism. To retain relevance, universities must evolve into dynamic, accessible hubs for lifelong learning, deeply integrating the "green skills" required to navigate an ecologically constrained future. To successfully navigate the multifaceted complexities of the twenty-first century, global education systems must be liberated from archaic industrial models and fundamentally reinvented as flexible, equitable, and democratic ecosystems explicitly designed to foster human flourishing.

References :

1. Concern Worldwide. (2025). “10 of the Biggest Problems Facing Education Today.” It highlights conflict, climate change, exclusion, and barriers to schooling.
2. Ecole Globale. (2026). NEP 2020: Five years on - A progress report on literacy, spending & vocational education. International Journal of Scientific Research and Publications. ().
3. Global Education: Current Issues Today. (2017). This reference discusses affordability, flexible curriculum delivery, student-centered learning, and the empowerment of teachers and students.
4. Lea, S. J., D. Stephenson, and J. Troy (2003). Higher Education Students’ Attitudes to Student Centered Learning: Beyond ‘educational bulimia’. Studies in Higher Education 28(3), 321–334.
5. Light, G. and R. Cox (2001). Assessing: student assessment. In Learning and Teaching in Higher Education: The Reflective Practitioner. London: Paul Chapman Publishing.
6. Lonka, K. and K. Ahola (1995). Activating instruction: How to foster study and thinking skills in Higher Education. European Journal of Psychology of Education 10, 351–368.
7. O’Sullivan, M. (2003). The reconceptualization of learner-centered approaches: A Charles E. Merrill Publishing Company. Rogoff, B. (1999). Cognitive development through social interaction: Vgotsky and Piaget.
8. OECD. (2023). Teaching for the Future: Global Engagement, Sustainability and Digital Skills. Paris: OECD Publishing. It discusses teacher preparation, sustainability, digital competence, and the future relevance of schooling.
9. OECD. (2025). Trends Shaping Education 2025. Paris: OECD Publishing. This report examines social, technological, economic, environmental, and political trends affecting education systems worldwide.
10. Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2025). Education at a glance 2025: OECD indicators. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/1c0d9c79-en

11. Park, S.-W., & Rostova, E. (2026). Surveillance capitalism in the classroom: Ethical implications of EdTech data harvesting in European primary education.
12. Taylor, P. G. (2000). Changing Expectations: Preparing students for Flexible Learning. The International Journal of Academic Development 5(2), 107-115.
13. Toohey, S. (2000). Designing Courses for Higher Education. Buckingham: SRHE and Open University.
14. UNESCO (2025). The right to education: Past, present and future directions. UNESCO. https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/right-education-past-present-and-future-directions.
15. UNESCO. (2024, February 26). Global report on teachers: Addressing teacher shortages and transforming the profession. https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/global-report-teachers-addressing-teacher-shortages-and-transforming-profession.
16. UNESCO. (2026). "The Multipolar Turn in Global Education Governance." This source addresses global education financing, inequality, pandemic disruption, geopolitical fragmentation, and changing international cooperation.
17. UNESCO.(2026). Global education monitoring report 2026: Access and equity-Countdown to 2030.UNESCO.
18. UNICEF.(2024). Learning interrupted: Global snapshot of climate-related school disruptions in 2024.
19. UNICEF.(2026). UNICEF digital education strategy 2025–2030: Beyond digital as usual-An equity-driven, human-centered digital and AI strategy for learning.
20. University of the Basque Country (EHU). (n.d.). Guidance for generative AI in education and research.
21. Work Life. (2022, February). Goodbye degree inflation? Study shows employers increasinglyseekskillsovercollegedegrees.
22. World Economic Forum. (2023, December 21). OECD PISA results: Maths and reading skills in 'unprecedented drop'. https://www.weforum.org/stories/2023/12/oecd-pisa-results-maths-reading-skills-education.

•